

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Applicants wish to thank Examiner Nguyen for the helpful and courteous discussions with Applicants' Representative on April 2 and April 29, 2008. During these discussions, the claims as amended were discussed. The Examiner has found the claim language acceptable.

Further, a proposed Rule 132 Declaration was discussed. The Examiner required more explanation as to why a difference of 10% in Sears number is significant. The attached Rule 132 Declaration contains such explanation.

Further, it was noted that EP 0937755 and Türk et al, alone or in combination, fail to disclose or suggest **a precipitated silica having a Sears number greater than 25 ml/5g or a process as claimed in Claims 10 and 17.**